## Plan Q

# 2008 City Council Alternative Districts Prepared by on behalf of Councilman Matheny

## **Summary of Precinct Changes**

District			District				District	
Precinct	From	То	Precinct	From	To	Precinct	From	То
FR3	4	5	G43	4	5	JEF1	2	1
FR4	4	5	G44	1	3	JEF2	2	1
FR5A	4	5	G45	5	4	MON3	3	2
FR5B	4	5	G48	5	4	NDRI	4	5
~ G11	2	3	G49	5	4	NMAD	3	2
G26	3	2	G50	5	4	SDRI	4	5
G41A	4	5	G63	5	4	SF4	4	3
G41B	4	5	G64	4	5	SMAD	3	2
G42	4	5	G72	2	1			

### Statistical Summary

Population Counts					
District		African			
Number	White	American	Other	Total	Variance
1	9,344	35,999	5,646	50,989	-3
2	11,456	34,330	5,510	51,296	-2.4
3	42,654	8,543	3,158	54,355	3.5
4	38,056	9,559	5,143	52,758	0.4
5	31,289	14,717	7,300	53,306	1.5
Total	132,799	103,148	26,757	262,704	6.5

		ges	
1	18.3	70.6	11.1
2	22.3	66.9	10.7
3	78.5	15.7	5.8
4	72.1	18.1	9.7
5	58.7	27.6	13.7
Total	50.6	20.2	10.2

#### **Plan Overview**

Characteristic/Description	Measure
Population Variance. All plans must adhere to the requirement that the maximum population variance not exceed 10 percent. Smaller variances are preferred over larger variances. This plan has a variance of:	6.5
<b>Avoid Retrogression.</b> Maintain two districts that are "majority-minority" in population composition. The majority-minority districts in this plan are:	District 1: 81.7% District 2: 77.7%
<b>Preserve Political Subdivisions.</b> Voter precincts should be the basic building block for all districts. Exceptions are present where the City limit passes through a precinct. This is common in areas where annexations have taken place.	all districts follow precinct boundaries
Preserve the Cores of Prior Districts. Base the current plan, to the extent possible, on existing city council districts. Compared to the 2001 districts, the number of precincts shifted to a different district is:	26
Preserve Communities of Interest. Neighborhood areas are a key indicator of similar communities of interest. Compared to the 2001 districts, the number of neighborhoods split between districts is:	11
Maintain Contituent Relationships. To the extent possible, keep incumbents within their current district. The number of incumbents moved to a different district is:	none

Note: Some of the above precinct changes may include only portions of the precinct.

STOK SF2	NCGR	Thons -	NMAD Precinc
OR1 OR2 SF4	Charles Control of the Control of th		Precinc FR3 FR4 FR5A FR5B G11 G26 G41A G41B G42
FR5B		G09 G10 (MON1	SMAD
NDRI G41B G41A G39	G30 G29 G24 G21 G21 G22 G31 G20 G18 G19	G08 G07 G03 G02 G03	JEF1
	G17 G35 G16 G13 G12 G11 G44 G45 G44 G45 G44 G45 G44	G01 G68 G67 G71 G70	RC1
H27-B H27-B H27-B H27-B H29 G66 G66	G58 G56	G73  G75  JEF3	JEF4
Legend  Precinct Boundaries  Annexation StudyAreas	G59 G57 G53 G53 SUM1	FEN1	
Plan Q DISTRICT  1 1 2	SUM2	Note: Some In instance	existing precincts are only partially within the City. es such as these, only portions within the City are
3 JAM5 JAM5	SUM4	Prep PG2 ti illustrati	hange comparisons are relative to unadjusted, post annexation, district boundaries.  ared by the City of Greensboro's GIS Division, nis map is intended solely for the purpose of ng possible new City Council District delineations. map is not drawn to scale and is for illustrative purposes only.